

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/593,331	Applicant(s) HAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Matthew E. Hoban	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew E. Hoban.

(2) Steve Catlin.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2010

Time: 11:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1, 2, 4, 9 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed adding limitations of Claim 22 to Claim 1 in order to distinguish the claims over the prior art. Further discussed cleaning up the claims based on this amendment. Amendment was authorized by the applicants leading directly to allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)